CABINET
Meeting held on 7th May 2019
in Council Chamber, Newham Town Hall, East Ham, E6 2RP

Present: Rokhsana Fiaz OBE (Chair)

Cabinet Members
Councillors John Gray, Charlene McLean,
Zulfiqar Ali, Julianne Marriott, James Beckles,
Susan Masters, Terry Paul and Sarah Ruiz

Cabinet Assistants:
Councillor Firoza Nekiwalıa

Other Members:
Councillor Neil Wilson

The meeting commenced at 6.00 p.m. and closed at 7.29 p.m.

1. Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors James Asser,
Carleene Lee-Phakoe and Rachel Tripp.

2. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

3. Minutes

The minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on 2nd and 12th April 2019 were
agreed as a correct record.

4. Appointments to Outside Bodies

There were no appointments to outside bodies made at the meeting.

5. Citizens' Assemblies Community Plans - Delivery

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval of the allocation of
Community Infrastructure Levy Funds (CIL) and the expenditure of
£250,000 of those funds 2019-2020 towards the facilitation of Citizens’
Assemblies and delivery of eight Community Plans.

Councillor McLean introduced the report stating that this would enable
more participation.
For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) The provision of CIL funding of £25,000 to each of the 8 Community Neighbourhoods to enable the implementation of projects identified within community plans, the sum of which totals £200,000 in the 2019/20 financial year; and

ii) The provision of CIL funding allocation of £50,000 towards the costs of enabling the Citizens’ Assemblies, in 2019/20, specifically to introduce digital democracy through the development and sourcing of a range of electronic platforms.

**Alternatives considered**

The following two options were considered:

1. Delivery of the Citizens’ Assemblies programme is secured externally. This would require sourcing external funding to cover additional staffing costs and the community plan budget for each of the eight neighbourhoods. There are considerable advantages to running the programme externally – project ideas would be more transparent, would be costed by non council personnel and have minimal influence from the Community Neighbourhood teams, however dependant on the funding secured, the spend on community plans and projects may be restricted to a smaller range of activities and priorities. The programme may also be postponed by a further six months to allow time to secure an appropriate service provider.

2. Community Neighbourhood teams facilitate borough wide assemblies. This would require the service to deliver one community plan representing the whole borough that sets out a programme of activities that are delivered in each neighbourhood. An enhanced community plan budget may be required to deliver the activities and a borough wide plan may mitigate against residents from talking about matters important to them in their local area and have a negative impact on the participatory culture that is a priority ambition in Newham.

Both these options have been appraised and the recommended approach is for the council to deliver a programme of assemblies in the 8 community neighbourhoods.

**6. Canning Town and Custom House Programme - Delivery Approach**

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval to progress the remaining nine designated regeneration sites in the Canning Town and
Custom House (CTCH) programme (areas 1b/Aviary Close, 11/Tant Ave, 2a/Lawrence St South, 2b/Edwin St, 6/Freemasons East, 19/Normandy Tce, 4/Hands and Burrard, 5/Coolfin North, 18/Coolfin & 6 north/Hartington Rd) by means of the Council adopting the role of Strategic Master Developer (SMD).

This would mean that, instead of placing delivery control with an external partner, LBN would lead on community wealth building, design, masterplanning, de-risking and delivery to ensure the portfolio of sites cross-subsidise one another, overcome development constraints and maximise the benefits to communities and wider Borough.

The Mayor introduced the report stating that this was part of a significant programme of regeneration, the approach would ensure community involvement and the Council would become the strategic developer.

The Presenting Officer advised that 50% of the homes would be affordable and the proposal allowed the capture of the developer benefits.

In response to questions from Members, the Presenting Officer said that there would be precise contract management to ensure the project was delivered on time. The Regeneration Delivery Board would assess all risks and would report on a regular basis to the Mayor and Lead Member. In response to a question from the Mayor, the Presenting Officer stated that the risks assessed included those associated with Brexit.

In response to further questions the Mayor commented that it had been agreed not to proceed with the Joint Venture as one of her pledges was not to have any Joint Ventures.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) That the Council would lead as Strategic Master Developer for the remaining regeneration sites at Canning Town and Custom House by de-risking these sites for regeneration, gaining planning consent whilst ensuring full and meaningful resident engagement for the reasons set out in section 5 of the report;

ii) To note that the discussions relating to the land swap and joint venture with River Christian Centre cease forthwith for the reasons set out in section 4 of the report; and

iii) To use £4.5m of the funding agreed by Council as part of the Medium Term Financial Strategy in February 2019 to enable the Council to act as Strategic Master Developer to lead development proposals and outline planning permission application for Canning Town East, referred to as regeneration areas 1a, 2a, 2b and 11. Including a detailed planning application for the previously cleared area within site 2a and the previously undeveloped area within site 11 as set out in
section 8.

Alternatives considered

Master Joint Venture Approach

The previous administration favoured the creation of a Joint Venture (JV) partnership with a private developer, to deliver the entire CTCH programme as well as other strategic projects.

The purpose being to accelerate the delivery of strategic sites as a single development opportunity. A borough wide JV can have positive market outcomes, as specifically larger development partners respond well if large sites are being brought forward as a single tendering opportunity due to economy of scale and the potential for an improved commercial deal.

However, the process of procuring a single (master) private sector developer to regenerate places have proven to be slow, risky, contentious and to produce poor social and financial outcomes for communities and local authorities. The large-scale nature of the proposal ensures that the opportunity is only available to a select number of developers, much reducing the market for smaller competitors. Such a route to market can be difficult to produce true and meaningful resident engagement, particularly in terms of co-design, which is now underway at Custom House Local Centre.

The developer partner can have a disproportionate level of control over Council assets and regeneration. The ensuing private sector demands can be much harder to refuse if they influence the delivery at such a strategic level.

It is for all of the above reasons that a single joint venture methodology to CTCH is being discounted in favour of a Council led Strategic Master Developer approach, which can improve development viability, create truly balanced communities and community wealth building.

7. CIPFA Finance Review - update

Cabinet considered this report which provided an update on the CIPFA action plan as requested by Cabinet in April 2019. The updated action plan reflected progress since March 2019.

Councillor Paul introduced the report, stating that it highlighted the Council’s commitment to transparency around finances.
For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to AGREE to endorse the Action Plan set out at Appendix 1.

Alternatives considered
The Council could choose not to accept and implement the CIPFA recommendations, but the failure to address the issues and concerns raised in the CIPFA report would leave the Council exposed to continuing problems in terms of budgetary control and the development of a robust and balanced MTFS.

8. London Counter Fraud Hub

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval for Newham Council to join the London Counter Fraud Hub. The report provided details concerning the development of the London Counter Fraud Hub and highlighted the potential benefits to Newham Council of joining this pan-London initiative. The hub is supplied by CIPFA, in partnership with BAE systems, with councils and third parties providing their data to be analysed for fraud using advanced data analytics.

Councillor Paul introduced the report stating that the proposal enabled better use of resources. In response to a question, the Presenting Officer said that the Council did have the ability to withdraw from the Hub if needed. The Mayor asked that an update report be presented to Cabinet in 6 months.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to AGREE

i) In principle to Newham Council joining the London Counter Fraud Hub;

ii) To delegate authority to the Interim Executive Director of Financial Sustainability to enter into the contract following consultation with the Lead Member and the Director of Legal and Governance; and

iii) That a report updating Cabinet be presented back to Cabinet in 6 months

Alternatives considered
Newham could wait to join until other Councils have embedded the system so that its benefits can be evaluated in light of their experience. However, the hub needs Councils to join to make it a success, so if Newham were to take this approach it would not benefit other London authorities. It is also likely that late joiners will have higher costs charged.
Newham could decide not to join the hub. If it did so it would not benefit from the additional savings predicted by the business case. Also, it would undermine the likelihood that the hub would proceed because 26 authorities are needed.


Cabinet considered this report which set out that the Gambling Act 2005 required licensing authorities to publish at least every three years a Statement of their Licensing Policy (Gambling). Applications for licences under the Gambling Act 2005 have to be made in accordance with the licensing authority’s Licensing Policy (Gambling) and the Regulations made under the 2005 Act. The Council’s first Policy came into force on 31 January 2007 and following a statutory consultation and review the current policy came into force on 31 January 2016.

Approval was sought for the re-adoption of the existing Policy with minor additions and amendments as set out in the report.

A public consultation exercise was conducted which resulted in four responses.

Councillor Neil Wilson presented the report advising that the Council had a legal obligation to publish. Councillor Wilson said that the policy presented reflected the comments received during the consultation process. Councillor Wilson commented that the policy now included local risk assessments and thanked officers and Members of the Licensing Committee for their work on the policy.

The Mayor said that this was a commendable piece of work which she welcomed.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED to AGREE**

**To recommend to Full Council the adoption of the final version of the Council’s Statement of Gambling Policy attached as Appendix A and that the Policy be published immediately following the Full Council meeting.**

**Alternatives considered**

Not applicable

10. **Stratford Station Carpenters Estate Entrance**

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval of £1,000,000 of Newham Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Funding to Transport for London (TfL) in order to enable the delivery of the Stratford Station Carpenters Estate Entrance as part of a wider delivery costs £9,000,000 supported by London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC), TfL and
the Mayor of London’s Strategic Investment Fund (SIF).

As the accountable body for overall funding, Transport for London (TfL) would procure and deliver the works, taking the delivery risk/cost exposure on the project. LLDC and the London Borough of Newham would monitor the use of the grant, with funding drawn down in arrears at agreed milestones.

The proposed new entrance would create the delivery of a new entry point at ground level into the Stratford Regional Station, then onto the western concourse immediately adjacent to the Jubilee Line platforms.

The Mayor introduced the report stating that the proposal was for a new entrance point at Stratford station which was warmly welcomed.

The Mayor referred to members of the public sitting in the public gallery who had drawn attention to the empty properties on the Carpenters estate. The Mayor commented that discussions were being held with residents on the issue and invited the Interim Director of Regeneration and Planning to speak on the matter. The Interim Director said that there had been a number of meetings with residents, an options appraisal would be shared with residents and those residents decanted from the estate. She said that the Council would engage with residents and officers would report on progress.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to AGREE

i) Funding of £1,000,000 of Community Infrastructure Levy funds to Transport for London to assist in the delivery of the Stratford Station Carpenters Estate Entrance Project;

ii) The wider benefits to the community of the Carpenters Estate Station entrance;

iii) To endorse the Councils continued involvement in the project; and

iv) To note that Planning permission 16/00065/FUL for the Carpenters Estate Station entrance was granted by the London Legacy Development Corporation (LLDC) on 30 September 2016. As such, the planning permission must be implemented within 3 years.

Alternatives considered

1. The £1 million that has been identified for this project was secured specifically for infrastructure purposes within the London Borough of Newham. This limits the scope of how the money can be spent.

2. The proposal to allocate £1 million of funding to the Stratford Station Carpenters Estate Entrance represents good value for money
because it forms part of a larger contribution that has been collected to deliver nearly £9 million worth of improvements to the station.

3. As set out in (2) above, the £1 million of funding forms part of a wider funding package for the project of £9 million. Without this funding there would be a shortfall of £1 million and there is a risk that the project would not be able to progress and the other funding sources will be lost.

11. Neighbourhood Operations Restructure

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval to implement changes to the Council’s approach to tackling environmental crime including littering and flytipping. This included taking a radically different approach to working with the community to improve quality of life issues. In recognition that an enforcement centred approach would not bring about the sustainable change required the report sought approval for a reduction in resourcing for the Neighbourhood Operations service which was primarily responsible for tackling environmental crime such as littering and flytipping.

Councillor Beckles in introducing the report stated that the report did not set out the full extent of the issues and was still subject to trade union consultation. Councillor Beckles asked, on behalf of the Presenting Officer that the report be noted with a further report setting out further details to be presented to the July Cabinet.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to NOTE

i) A budget reduction of £1.4m for the Neighbourhood Operations service as agreed by full Council in the budget for 2019/20;

ii) To note the approaches developed in conjunction with Keep Britain Tidy and the residents via dedicated Citizen Assemblies aimed at encouraging behavioural change among residents to prevent littering and flytipping; and

iii) That Cabinet anticipates a further report to the July Cabinet for approval

Alternatives considered

1. Given the level of savings required the only feasible approach would be to reduce staffing levels. Other areas for consideration have included vehicle costs, and additional income from fines but these are marginal and would only form part of the overall £1.4m requirement.

2. One option to reduce the impact would be to look at utilising the Law Enforcement Officers to undertake an ongoing schedule of
compliance and safety checks in Newham’s housing blocks to supplement the work of the Resident Services Team. This would represent a significant undertaking and it would be necessary to explore an appropriate additional re-charge to the HRA.

12. **Independent Living Support Services Framework Contract**

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval to trigger the one (1) year extension option allowable under the Independent Living Support Services Framework Agreement ("ILSSFA"), and negotiate a variation to include a London Living Wage ("LLW") clause to the Framework’s terms and conditions.

The report set out that the provision of home care is a critical preventative service that improves the quality of life for residents by supporting them to live as independently as possible in their own homes.

Councillor Masters introduced the report and thanked officers for their hard work on the proposal.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) To approve, in accordance with Contract Standing Orders ("CSO") 23, and the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 ("PCR 2015") 72, the extension and negotiated variation of the Independent Living Support Services Framework Agreement as outlined below:

   a) To trigger the one year-contract extension clause of the Independent Living Support Services Framework Agreement for a further 12 months at a total cost of c.£19.5 million (inclusive of London Living Wage uplift), with a variation (to be negotiated) to include a new London Living Wage clause, effective 31st July 2019, until 30th June 2020;

   b) An increase of the fixed hourly cost from £14.40 to £18.00 per hour from the 1st August 2019; and

   c) The transfer of £3m held in the budget strategy for the implementation of the LLW for Care Workers for 2019/20 to Adult Social Care, rising to £6m in 2020/21.

**Alternatives considered**

The payment of the LLW to Care Staff is a Council priority for 2019/20, which has been supported by a Council Motion, therefore an alternative on this occasion was not considered.
13. **Procurement for the Provision of Accommodation Based Supported Living Services for Adults with MH, LD and Autism**

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval for a further extension of the current contract arrangements, until 31st May 2020, for the housing related support and supported living services for adults with Mental Health, Learning Disabilities and Autism in order to allow sufficient time to procure these services using a new Dynamic Purchasing System (DPS).

Councillor Masters introduced the report and said this was another good news story. In response to a question, the Presenting Officer said that officers had consulted with different organisations, including Healthwatch as to the appropriate provision.

The Mayor asked that officers provide half yearly reports to Cabinet Briefing meetings.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) **The waiver of the Council’s Contract Standing Orders ("CSO")** to allow for a total extension of the current contracts for the period 1st June 2019 to the 31st May 2020, at an estimated cost of approximately £9,300,000, following a nonresponse to the publication of a Voluntary ex ante transparency (VEAT) Notice to advertise the award of the contract extension;

ii) **The proposed strategy to procure a Dynamic Purchasing System ("DPS")** under regulation 34 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2015 ("PCR 2015"), for the accommodation based supported living services for adults with Mental Health (MH) conditions, Learning Disabilities (LD) and Autism, with an estimated value of £ 9.3 million per annum;

iii) **To approve, (following a successful procurement process) the procurement via the DPS, with a length of five (5) years (initial period) plus up to a further five years (3 + 2) extension period following a Best Value Review (within the meaning of Part 1 of the Local Government Act 1999) of the DPS service contract.** Officers will be required to return to Cabinet with a review of the service, to seek approval for any extensions beyond the initial 5 year period or consideration of other options.

iv) **To note that at the Mayoral Proceedings meeting held 8th March 2018, the current arrangements were extended until 31st May 2019, for the Council to procure a Framework Agreement for the above referred to service provisions.**

**Alternatives considered**

An alternative would be to procure services via a closed framework
arrangement but as outlined above, the DPS is considered a more flexible, less risky and appropriate option for these services.

## 14. Event Production Services for the London Borough of Newham Events in 2020 - 2023

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval for the procurement of Event Production Services for the London Borough of Newham Events in 2020 – 2023.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) **To the commencement of an OJEU Open procurement procedure for the Event Production Services, with expected contract start date of 01/01/2020 for a period of three years with the option to extend up to one year;**

ii) **To note that the Event Production Services procurement scope would include:**

- Production Management
- Audio Visual Equipment Hire
- Marquees
- Outdoor Toilet Facilities
- Roadway & Pedestrian Footway
- Staging
- PA & Backline
- Lighting & Rigging
- Barriers & Fencing
- Tower Lighting
- Plant Equipment
- Temporary Portable Accommodation
- Outdoor LED Screens
- Generators
- Manual Labour & Technical Site Crew
• Furniture

iii) That the above list was not exhaustive. The Event Production Services procurement scope would also include a variety of other items of lower value, such as water coolers, diesel fuel, hardware items and various consumables.

Alternatives considered

Due to the nature of these specialised services, there are no alternative options for delivering these kinds of services other than to source a professional organisation with the necessary skills, technical knowledge and expertise.

There is no in-house infrastructure or human resources with the technical expertise and knowledge required to be able to deliver services of this nature.

Following advice from the Strategic Procurement Unit over the past two years other procurement options have been tried, such as setting up a London wide DPS (Dynamic Purchasing System) and calling off from existing ESPO frameworks. Unfortunately neither of these options proved successful.

15. Civil Traffic Enforcement and Associated Services (Parking Contract)-Award of Contract

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval for the award of contracts to provide the required specified services to continue civil traffic enforcement on the expiry of current arrangements on 31 July 2019. The report set out the procurement process scope of the tendered services and outcome of the tender evaluation. This included the implementation of policy to ensure that the London Living Wage was adopted by the recommended contractor(s).

The report highlighted transfer to “in house” of specific elements of the current Parking Enforcement contract for Civil Traffic enforcement and associated services on the expiry of the current contract as approved by Cabinet on 4th December 2018.

Councillor Zulfiqar Ali introduced the report advising that it met savings targets and the projects would be fully evaluated.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to AGREE

i) To award the contract under lot 1 for On- and Off-street civil traffic enforcement and removal of vehicles to Egis Limited for three years at the cost of £3,033,609.08 per annum with a
possibility of an extension of 1 plus 1 years at a total cost of £15,168,045.40; and

ii) To award the contract under lot 2 for Notice Processing IT system and post & document handling to Conduent Parking Enforcement Solutions Ltd for three years at the cost of £329,216.59 per annum with a possibility of an extension of 1 plus 1 years at a total cost of £1,646,082.95.

Alternatives considered

The alternatives considered were set out in the Options assessment presented to Cabinet on 4th December 2018. As part of the initial approval process to look at the possibility of transferring the entire service “in-house” however, this was considered not financially or operationally feasible at the time.


Cabinet considered this report which set out that the council in its role as the designated Highway Authority has a number of duties to maintain and manage public roads and spaces. Whilst certain aspects of highways management are controlled by statute, some are locally developed and implemented to reflect the specific needs and requirements of the area concerned.

The report also set out that to ensure that the residents and businesses of Newham were fully aware of the way in which the council managed and controlled the public asset a number of policies were in place. These policies need to be regularly reviewed and refreshed to ensure that they meet the needs of the local area and manage the public space in a fair and equitable way.

The current policies regarding the Local Implementation Plan (LIP) and Parking were due for review, in order to ensure that the revised policies reflected the changes in statutory requirements, local needs and infrastructure, a comprehensive process of public, business and stakeholder engagement had been undertaken to inform these reviews.

The report detailed the process and results of the Highways, Transport & Parking engagement process and set out the key themes identified from the engagement process for inclusion in; and for the shaping of the council’s strategies and policies for the coming years.

Councillor Zulfiqar Ali introduced the report advising that it had been a manifesto commitment to review parking arrangements.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet **RESOLVED** to **AGREE**

i) To note the process and results of the Highway, Transportation & Parking engagement process as detailed in the report,
forming the first phase of the parking and transportation review;

ii) To grant delegated approval to the Commissioning Leader (Highways & Traffic) in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Highways, to proceed in drafting parking policy documents based on the key engagement outcomes identified in section 4 of the report.

iii) To note that the final policy documents would be brought back to Cabinet for final approval.

Alternatives considered

Not applicable

17. Brunel Street Works, Canning Town Hotel

Cabinet considered this report which sought approval for the funding for and acquisition of the Premier Inn Canning Town.

The report set out proposals for the Council to enter into an investment arrangement with an Institutional Investor and Premier Inn Hotels Limited (Whitbread Plc). The proposal would generate significant annual revenue for the Council reducing the budget deficit, supporting community wealth building and facilitating in-borough development and regeneration.

Councillor Paul introduced the report stating that the investment supported Community Wealth Building with a commitment to pay the London Living Wage.

In response to questions from Members, the Presenting officer said that this was a good quality asset for minimal outlay and the biggest risk would come at the end of 25 years when the lease expired when the property might have to be sold.

For the reasons set out in the report Cabinet RESOLVED to AGREE

i) The forward commitment to purchase of an in-borough, 152 Bedroom hotel which will be let on practical completion to Premier Inn Hotels Limited with a guarantee from Whitbread Group PLC as per the terms set out in Appendix 1/2;

ii) The recommended funding / financing option from the Institutional Investor (Option 3 – see 4.6) to provide 100% of the capital required of £21.250m and additional transaction costs (stamp duty and professional fees) of £1.425m (£22.675m total) relating to the acquisition of Canning Town Premier Inn Hotel; and
iii) The business case for acquisition following the positive outcome of substantial due diligence covering strategic, financial, property, asset and legal) which highlights the attractiveness of the investment.

Alternatives considered

1) The only alternative considered is that the Council does nothing due to a lack of alternative in-borough investment:

*Council does nothing* - The Council would withdraw its offer on the property, losing the certainty of revenue that would be generated from this transaction.

The Council is under no obligation to purchase the property and could look for other investment opportunities. However, it is considered that this purchase represents a good opportunity to generate income and to contribute to reducing the budget gap.

The in-borough pipeline of investment opportunities is very limited, and the property is available now and ready for exchange and completion following 3 months of thorough due diligence. If the Council did nothing, it would not be liable for the rent guarantee.

Properties take time to acquire and choosing not to purchase the property would impact the ability to generate income. Withdrawal from this purchase would affect the overall pipeline and delay achievement of the strategy.

As a reference, only two opportunities of interest have come to market in the last 9 months ‘in borough’. Given the limited in-borough pipeline of investment opportunities this could be the only viable opportunity for acquisition this year.


Agreed to exclude the press and public from the remainder of the meeting during consideration of the following exempt information.

19. **Exempt Appendices for Agenda Item 6 - Canning Town and Custom House Programme - Delivery Approach**

The matter was considered but not disclosed during consideration of Agenda Item 6, Canning Town and Custom House Programme - Delivery Approach.
20. **Exempt Appendix for Agenda Item 13 - Procurement for the Provision of Accommodation Based Supported Living Services for Adults with MH, LD and Autism**

The matter was considered but not disclosed during consideration of Agenda Item 13 - Procurement for the Provision of Accommodation Based Supported Living Services for Adults with MH, LD and Autism.

21. **Exempt Appendices for Agenda Item 14 - Event Production Services for the London Borough of Newham Events in 2020 - 2023**

The matter was considered but not disclosed during consideration of Agenda Item 14 - Event Production Services for the London Borough of Newham Events in 2020 - 2023.

22. **Exempt Appendix for Agenda Item 15 - Civil Traffic Enforcement and Associated Services (Parking Contract)- Award of Contract**

The matter was considered but not disclosed during consideration of Agenda Item 15 - Civil Traffic Enforcement and Associated Services (Parking Contract)- Award of Contract.

23. **Exempt Appendices for Agenda Item 17 - Brunel Street Works, Canning Town Hotel**

The matter was considered but not disclosed during consideration of Agenda Item 17, Brunel Street Works, Canning Town Hotel.