Appendix B – Schedule of Minor Changes that the Joint Boroughs have proposed as factual updates, corrections of minor errors or other minor amendments in the interests of clarity.

Please note: For completeness and clarity this Schedule includes the Inspector Changes which are set out in Appendix A.

Joint Waste Development Plan for the East London Waste Authority Boroughs

Schedule of post-Hearing minor changes to the Submitted Joint Waste Development Plan Document

Consultation period 6th September 2011
until 26th September 2011

Appendices:

Annex 1 - Note regarding Sustainability Appraisal, Habitats Regulations Assessment, PPS25 Sequential Test and Equalities Impact Assessment
Annex 2 - Revised Schedule 1 (separate document)
Annex 3 - Revised Proposals Maps and insets (separate document)
Annex 4 – Revised Technical Report (separate document)

April 2011
(Updated August 2011 to reflect London Plan publication)

Local Development Framework
London Borough of Barking and Dagenham
London Borough of Havering
London Borough of Newham
London Borough of Redbridge

This document includes the Further Proposed Changes made prior to the start of the hearings,the Hearing Proposed Changes made as a result of discussion during the hearings and the London Plan changes made as a result of the adoption of the London Plan 2011.

The changes below are expressed in the form of ~~strikethrough~~ for deletions and underlining for additions of text. Where there has been an amendment to the Advertised Proposed Changes through the Further Proposed Change or to the Further Proposed Changes through the Hearing Proposed Changes this is shown as ~~double strikethrough~~ for deletions and double underlining for additions of text. Where there has been a change to an Advertised Proposed Change and a Further Proposed Change through the Hearing Proposed Change or to these changes through the London Plan changes this is shown through ~~double strikethrough in red font~~ for deletions and double underlining in red font for additions.

Proposed Minor Changes to the Submitted East London Joint Waste Plan

<table>
<tr><th>No. of Proposed Change</th><th>Proposed Change</th><th>Reason for Change</th></tr>
<tr><td><u>Further Proposed Change 1</u></td><td>Amend Table 1 as follows:
<table>
<tr><th>Borough</th><th>Documents</th></tr>
<tr><td>Barking and Dagenham</td><td>• Core Strategy (~~Pre-submission Report~~ <u>Adopted July 2010</u>)
• Borough Wide Development Policies DPD (~~Pre-submission Report~~ <u>Adopted March 2011</u>)
• Site Specific Allocations (~~Preferred Options~~ <u>Adopted December 2010</u>)
• Barking Town Centre Area Action Plan (~~Preferred Options~~ <u>Adopted February 2011)</u></td></tr>
<tr><td>Havering</td><td>• Core Strategy (Adopted, July 2008)
• Site Specific Allocations (Adopted, July 2008)</td></tr>
</table></td><td>Updating document.</td></tr>
</table>

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change			Reason for Change
			• Development Control Policies (Adopted, October 2008) • Romford Area Action Plan (Adopted, October 2008)	
		Newham	• Core Strategy Proposed Submission Document (Submitted, March 2011) • ~~Core Strategy (Issues & Options)~~ • ~~Development Control Manual (Issues and Options)~~	
		Redbridge	• Core Strategy (Adopted, March 2008) • Borough Wide Primary Policies DPD (Adopted, May 2008) • Development Sites with Housing Capacity (Adopted, May 2008) • Development Opportunity Sites (Adopted, May 2008) • Ilford Town Centre Area	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	Action Plan (Adopted, May 2008) • Gants Hill Area Action Plan (~~Submitted~~ Adopted, March 2009) • Crossrail Corridor Area Action Plan (Submitted) • Minerals DPD (Issues and Options)	
Further Proposed Change 2	Introduction—under heading "Timetable for the Preparation of the Joint Waste DPD" Delete paragraphs 1.6 – 1.16 and renumber subsequent paragraphs.	Updating document.
Further Proposed Change 3	Amend paragraph 1.17 as follows: 1.17 Details of other documents referred to throughout this document are detailed below: • Planning Policy Statement 10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management (ODPM, July 2005). ~~Available at http://www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1143834~~ • The London Plan (GLA July 2011) ~~(GLA, February 2004) including Alterations to the Plan's housing provision targets and waste and minerals policies (December 2006) and Draft further alterations to the London Plan (September 2006) and Draft minor alteration on borough level waste apportionment (December 2006) Available at http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/index.jsp~~ • Building the Evidence Base and Identifying the Issues & Options Consultation Document (May 2007)	Updating document and improving clarity.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	Technical Report (October 2006) Sustainability Appraisal Interim Report: An appraisal of the Issues and Options (May 2007) Report on Consultation (November 2007) • Preferred Options Preferred Options Report (April 2008) Sustainability Appraisal of Preferred Options (April 2008) Site Assessment to inform Preferred Options (June 2007) Sustainability Appraisal of reasonable alternative sites (July 2007) Preferred Options Technical Report (April 2008) • Proposed Submission Documents Proposed Submission Joint Waste DPD Joint Waste DPD Map Sustainability Appraisal of the Joint Waste DPD Technical Report	
Hearing Proposed Change 2	Amend Further Proposed Change 4 and split existing paragraph 2.11 so that a new paragraph is inserted after the first sentence and insert additional text under existing paragraph 2.11 to refer to Sustainable Community Strategies: 2.11 The preparation of each borough Core Strategy reflects their Sustainable Community Strategy. **London Borough of Barking & Dagenham** Barking and Dagenham's Sustainable Community Strategy, The Community Plan (2009 Agreed Version) has six aims one of which is to work together for a clean, green and environmentally sustainable borough. As part of this the Partnership will be focusing on reducing the amount of waste that goes to landfill and reducing how much carbon dioxide is ~~realised~~ released into the environment. The rate of recycling (NI192) in 2008/09 was 25% increasing to 32% in 2009/10.	Adding explanatory cross-referencing.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	London Borough of Havering Havering's Sustainable Community Strategy (2008 -2013) states that the ~~LSP~~ Local Strategic Partnership (LSP) wishes to ensure a clean, safe and green borough. High recycling rates will reduce the burden we place on landfill and the Council will invest in new ways of improving recycling rates. The rate of recycling (NI192) in 2007/08 was 23.98%, increasing to 27.37% in 2008/09 and 34.35% in 2009/10. **London Borough of Newham** Newham Sustainable Community Strategy (2010-2030) states that the LSP wishes to help residents and businesses to minimise waste arisings and to recycle in the easiest and most cost effective way. The Council is examining ways to reduce the amount of waste produced, improve how it is disposed of and improve access to recycling. The rate of recycling (NI192) increased from 16% in 2008/9 to 19% between April and November 2009; the baseline (2007/8) rate was 15%. **London Borough of Redbridge** The Redbridge Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) (2008-2018) sets an ambition to promote a positive attitude to the environment and have a cleaner, greener Redbridge. As part of this ambition the strategy makes a commitment to reduce waste production and increase recycling. The SCS provides the overarching framework for all Local Development Documents, and the Joint Waste DPD will assist ~~win~~ with the delivery of its priorities. The 2009/10 AMR showed that the recycling and composting rate in Redbridge was 31.5%, which was an increase from 26.2% in 2008/09, but below the 40% national target.	
Hearing Proposed Change 3	Amend Further Proposed Change 5, delete detailed policy text to Borough Core Strategy policies and incorporate text underneath the newly created paragraph 2.12 2.12 This Joint Waste DPD must be in conformity with borough Core Strategy policies. Borough Core Strategy policies of specific relevance to the Joint Waste DPD are outlined below:	To avoid repetition of policies in other documents and to make sure documents are future proof as Newham's policy may be amended

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change			Reason for Change
		Barking & Dagenham (~~Pre-Submission Report, Nov 2008~~ Adopted July 2010)	**POLICY CR3: SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT** ~~To protect human health and the environment the Borough will seek to manage waste in a sustainable way and to help achieve national recycling and composting targets.~~ ~~This will be done by prioritising waste reduction, re-use, recycling and composting, new and emerging recovery technologies and conventional incineration over landfill, which will only be considered acceptable as a last resort.~~ ~~To meet the needs of our growing population, and to meet the Waste Apportionment requirements set out in the Further Alterations to the London Plan (Consolidated with Alterations since 2004), appropriate existing waste management capacity will be safeguarded and preferred sites for new facilities identified. The specifics of what capacity will be safeguarded and what sites are preferred for new waste management facilities will be set out in the Joint Waste DPD which the Council is developing with the neighbouring boroughs of Havering, Newham and Redbridge. In the interim the favoured broad locations for new or expanded waste management facilities in Barking and Dagenham are the Strategic Industrial Locations and Locally Significant Industrial Sites (subject to environmental and amenity considerations).~~	during the examination process.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change			Reason for Change
			~~Until the Joint Waste DPD has been adopted, applications for waste developments will be determined in accordance with PPS10 and the London Plan, and the capacity of existing waste management facilities will be safeguarded.~~	
		Havering (Adopted, July 2008)	CP11- Sustainable Waste Management ~~The Council is committed to minimising the production of waste, increases in recycling and composting and achieving substantial reductions in the use of landfill.~~ ~~New waste management facilities, therefore, will only be acceptable in Havering where they:~~ ~~• represent the most sustainable location for the management of the waste~~ ~~• ensure that the community or business which generated the waste is taking responsibility for its management~~ ~~• help the waste planning authority or London waste authority where the waste arose to achieve the maximum degree of self sufficiency in managing their waste~~ ~~• help deliver national targets for recycling and composting of waste.~~ ~~The broad locations for new waste management facilities will be in Strategic Industrial Locations, secondary employment areas and existing licensed waste management sites.~~ ~~Until the Joint Waste Plan is adopted the Council will safeguard all existing waste management sites, unless appropriate compensatory provision is made.~~ ~~The Joint Waste Plan will identify the amount of waste that~~	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change			Reason for Change
			~~will need managing across the four East London Boroughs up to 2020 and this will be based on robust evidence which will be informed by the regional waste apportionment. It will identify the range and type of facilities necessary to manage this waste and suitable locations for them.~~ ~~The Joint Waste Plan will identify sufficient land to manage waste by having regard to the apportionment at Borough level as in the London Plan.~~	
		Newham, Submission (31 March 2011)	Policy INF3 ~~Development proposals for waste management facilities must support movement up the waste hierarchy as follows: Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, Energy recovery, [then] Disposal~~ ~~The emerging Joint Waste Development Plan Document for the East London Waste Authority Boroughs sets out the amount of waste to be managed by the Boroughs of Barking and Dagenham, Havering, Newham and Redbridge; the range and type of facilities needed to manage the waste, and suitable locations for thosefacilities.~~ ~~Licensed operational sites are listed in Schedule 1 of the Joint~~ ~~Waste Development Plan Document, as follows:~~ ~~Jenkins Lane Waste Management and Materials Recovery~~ ~~Facility~~ ~~Bywaters, Materials Recovery Facility,Twelve Trees Crescent, Bow~~ ~~The Remet Company Limited, Cody Road, Canning~~	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change			Reason for Change
			~~Town~~ ~~Mayer Parry Recycling Limited, Bidder Street, Canning Town~~ ~~In Schedule 2, A 7 ha site has been identified at Beckton Riverside for a medium sized waste management site to manage the level of additional waste apportioned to Newham in the London plan. Proposals for alternative/additional sites will need to demonstrate~~ ~~that the Beckton Riverside site is inappropriate for the type of waste **or waste management process** or has been developed and further waste management facilities~~ ~~**Other Minor changes proposed to Policy INF3:** A minor change is proposed following the second paragraph of the policy and its bullet points to the effect that: **"The Environment Agency licenses a further 18 operational sites in Newham, all of which are safeguarded by (Draft) London Plan Policy 5.17 and will be reviewed in a Site Allocations Development Plan Document. The sites do not contribute towards the London Plan waste apportionment as their function lies outside of the definition of the apportionment (see Glossary); however the London Plan requires that if for any reason an existing waste management site is lost to non-waste use, an additional compensatory site provision will be required that meets the maximum throughput that the site could have achieved."**~~	
		Redbridge (Adopted, March 2008)	Strategic Policy 11: Waste ~~The Council is committed to helping the delivery of national targets for recycling and composting by minimising the production of waste, increasing recycling and composting,~~	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	~~and achieving substantial reductions in the use of landfill.~~ ~~A Waste Development Plan Document will be prepared in partnership with the London Boroughs of Barking & Dagenham, Havering and Newham which will identify:~~ ~~(a) the amount of waste to be managed across the four Boroughs up to 2020;~~ ~~(b) sufficient land within Redbridge to manage the relevant waste apportionment at Borough level;~~ ~~(c) the range and type of facilities necessary; and~~ ~~(d) locations for new waste management facilities including designated business areas and the expansion of existing licensed waste management facilities.~~	
Further Proposed Change 6	Delete paragraph 2.13	Now redundant paragraph
Hearing Proposed Change 4	Amend Further Proposed Change 7, incorporate the first sentence of para. 2.21 into para. 2.20 and delete the remainder of para. 2.21 and also para 2.22: "2.20 The Sustainability Appraisal of Preferred Options[4] tested the DPD objectives, policies and identified sites against the Sustainability Framework. The Appraisal suggested mitigation measures which were ~~have been~~ incorporated into the development of this Proposed Submission Document. Note: A Habitats Regulations Assessment of the Joint Waste DPD has been undertaken to determine whether the DPD will effect European biodiversity designations. The HRA was developed in consultation with officers at Natural England. ~~2.21~~ A Final Sustainability Appraisal specifically relating to the Proposed Submission Joint Waste DPD has ~~now~~ been produced." ~~We welcome your comments on the Sustainability Appraisal and the reports are available online at www.barkingdagenham.gov.uk; www.havering.gov.uk; www.newham.gov.uk or www.redbridge.gov.uk or by contacting your Council (see details on page 4). Responses to this document should be received no later than 5pm~~	Updating document.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	~~on 28 September 2009. Comments should be made via the online response form available at http://newham-consult.limehouse.co.uk/portal/. Alternatively, please return the response form to the address on page 4 or email ldf@newham.gov.uk.~~ ~~2.22 Please be aware that comments made cannot be treated as confidential and will be made available for public inspection.~~	
Further Proposed Change 9	Amend existing paragraph 3.4 as follow: The four ELWA boroughs are at different stages in the preparation of their Local Development Framework Core Strategy. The London Boroughs of Barking and Dagenham, Havering and Redbridge have an adopted Core Strategy~~, Barking & Dagenham have consulted on their Proposed Submission Document,~~ and ~~Newham is at Issues & Options~~. Newham's Core Strategy was submitted on 31 March 2011. The Borough Core Strategies each contain a strategic waste policy which sets the framework for the Joint Waste DPD including: • encouraging movement up the waste hierarchy • suitable locations for waste management facilities • help deliver targets for recycling and composting • regard to the London Plan apportionment • Joint Waste DPD to identify amount of waste to be managed, the range and type of facilities needed, sufficient land to manage waste apportionment and suitable locations for these facilities.	Updating document.
Hearing Proposed Change 6	Insert new fourth sentence into existing paragraph 4.6 as follows: As with all the other facilities (see paragraph 4.7 below), the *Joint Waste DPD technical report* then applies an actual throughput of 75% of this existing capacity at ELWA's four Reuse and Recycling Centres (i.e. 75% of 50% of the annual permitted tonnage). As almost all C, E & D waste is inert it is	For clarification.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	appropriate to include Landfill taking Non-Biodegradable (i.e. inert) waste.	
Hearing Proposed Change 10	Proposed new table 5, note 2: Amend paragraph numbering from ~~4.8~~ to 4.7	Correction to paragraph number
Further Proposed Change 11	Number the table set out under existing paragraph 4.8 as Table 3 and renumber subsequent Tables.	Correcting typographical omission.
Hearing Proposed Change 7	Amend further Proposed Change 12 and amend the final sentence of existing paragraph 4.8 as follows: ~~The established targets for implementation in the Joint Waste DPD are:~~ The Waste Strategy 2007~~0~~ establishes the following targets for waste management:	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 11	Amend Advertised Proposed Change 6 and move paragraph 4.20 to [new] paragraph 5.14 and re-number subsequent paragraphs ~~Waste Water and Sewage Treatment Plants:~~ 5.14 There is an established network of sewage facilities within East London, but upgrades may be required during the Plan period and this may involve new development. As the Landfill Directive introduces a ban on the disposal of liquid to other landfill facilities, this may result in additional pressure to find available space within operational sewage treatment plants to manage liquid wastes that were previously disposed of through landfill. Sewage treatment plants may also be appropriate locations for new facilities to manage domestic and other wastes.	Moving existing text into Policy W2 justification for clarity.
Hearing Proposed Change 12	Amend Advertised Proposed Change 6 and Further Proposed Change 15 and move paragraph 4.21 to Policy W2 as the penultimate paragraph and re number subsequent paragraphs in chapter 4. ~~4.21~~ Planning permission will only be granted for new waste water and sewage treatment plant, extensions to existing works, or facilities for the codisposal of sewage with other wastes, where development is either needed to treat waste arisings from within the East London Waste Authority area or in the case of arisings from elsewhere the need cannot ~~be~~ practicably and reasonably be met at another site – subject to the relevant borough's	Correcting typographical error. Moving existing text into policy for clarity.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	policy/guidance and Policy W5 of this Plan. Wherever practical and economical, renewable energy generation will be encouraged as part of such waste management facilities.	
Hearing Proposed Change 19	Delete the third bullet point in Policy W4 as follows: ~~(iii) Whalebone Lane North: Marks Warren Farm (Brett Lafarge Ltd)[SiteID1712] has been duly considered as a site for the disposal of inert C, E & D waste.~~	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 13	Amend Further Proposed Change 16 and amend first paragraph in box under Paragraph ~~4.22~~ 4.20 as follows: A MRF is designed to process source separated or comingled dry recyclables into individual materials prior to despatch to reprocessors who prepare the materials for manufacturing into new recycled products. The MRF is made up of a series of conveyor belts and a mix of manual and automatic machines to separate the materials and remove any items that ~~can't~~ can ~~not~~ be recycled.	See Hearing Proposed Change 11. Correcting typographical error.
Hearing Proposed Change 14	Para ~~4.23~~ 4.21 In accordance with PPS10, the London Plan and borough Core Strategy policy, the ~~Proposed Submission~~ Joint Waste DPD identifies sites suitable for recycling and waste treatment facilities. The process for determining these sites has been documented throughout the development of this ~~Submission~~ Document and has been influenced by representations received.	Updating document.
Hearing Proposed Change 15	Amend Further Proposed Change 17 and add reference to the draft replacement London Plan. Para 5.2, add references: first two bullets: Waste Strategy for England (2007, page 11); Second two bullets: London Plan 2011 Policy 5.16 (Waste Self-Suffiency)	For clarification.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Further Proposed Change 18	Policy W2. Delete ~~"preferred"~~ in the very last line of the policy wording.	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 17	Amend the last sentence in paragraph 5.9 as follows: Table ~~4~~ 6 summarises the capacity required and estimated land-take that may be required to provide this capacity.	Updating
Further Proposed Change 19	Para 5.9 Table to be renumbered. Table ~~5~~ 6: Summary of average capacity required within the ELWA boroughs and land area required to meet the London Plan apportionment for MSW and C&I waste	Updating
Further Proposed Change 20	Delete Para 5.13 ~~New waste management facilities should be of a high standard of design and contribute positively towards the overall development of the ELWA area.~~	Deleting text already covered by Policy W5 (x).
Further Proposed Change 21	Para 5.17. Delete ~~"it is recommended that"~~ and insert after working the word "can" 5.17 Due to the need for inert C,E&D waste landfill capacity, ~~it is recommended that~~ the voids left by mineral working can be used for landfill to achieve restoration of the site. Policy W4 sets the requirements that will govern the circumstances under which these sites ~~should be developed~~ can be used for landfill materials	For clarification.
Further Proposed Change 22	Para 5.18 Amend the second and third sentence in paragraph 5.18 and replace with a new sentence as follows: ~~The finished levels of a restored landfill site may be higher than adjoining land, however this is commonly not the case for inert waste landfills. However, they will still be expected to incorporate high quality standards of restoration of the site that are appropriate to the surrounding landscape~~ All restored landfills will be expected to incorporate high quality standards of restoration of the site that	For clarification

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	are appropriate to the surrounding landscape'.	
Hearing Proposed Change 21	Para 5.21: To maintain the principles of sustainable development and the right balance of waste facilities across London, proposals for new facilities managing waste which is not included in the London Plan apportionment for the ELWA area must undergo a sequential test. This will entail the applicant demonstrating as part of their application that there are no more suitable opportunities to manage the non-apportioned waste closer to its source. This is necessary to ensure that the distance waste is transported is minimised, to encourage communities to take more responsibility for managing their own waste, and to prevent an over-concentration of waste management facilities in east London.	Boroughs proposed change following discussion at Examination.
Further Proposed Change 23	Policy W5 title: ~~General Considerations~~ 'General Considerations with regard to Waste Proposals.'	For clarification.
Further Proposed Change 24	Policy W5: : "~~(xii) transport impacts of all movements, including opportunities for use of sustainable transport modes, traffic generation, access and the suitability of the highway network in the vicinity, access to and from the primary network;"~~ "(xii) adverse impacts of all movements including: traffic generation, an unsuitable highway network, inadequate accessibility to the site or the primary road network in the vicinity; and limited or no opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes;"	For clarification.
Further Proposed Change 25	Para 5.25: Consideration of traffic generation characteristics will incorporate an assessment of the level and type of traffic generated and the impact of that traffic. This assessment will identify opportunities for use of sustainable transport modes, the suitability of access and the highway network in the vicinity of the site, including access to and from the primary route network, designated routes and vehicle operating times and works necessary to accommodate the development.	For clarification.
Hearing	Amend Further Proposed Change 26 and amend reference to Technical Report in order to be	For clarification and

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Proposed Change 22	consistent with paragraphs 4.6 and 4.10 of the document. This is in the fourth sentence in paragraph 6.2 as follows: The technical report (Joint Waste DPD ~~Development Plan Documents for the East London Waste Authority Boroughs Preferred Options –~~ technical report) provides a spreadsheet where the variables input (including apportionment, recycling and composting targets and existing facility capacity) calculate the estimated facility capacity needed.	consistency.
Further Proposed Change 27	Para 6.3 Planning for Waste Management Facilities: A Research Study, ODPM (August 2004) Recycling and recovery facilities: Sites investigation in London, Land Use Consultants and SLR Consulting Ltd for the GLA (July 2005)	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 24	Para 6.7 Amend Further Proposed Change 29, delete reference to ~~GoL~~ and replace with CLG.	Updating document.
Hearing Proposed Change 25	Amend Advertised Proposed Change 12 and amend Schedule 1 (Schedule 1 is appended to these Proposed Minor Changes as Annex 1) Amend Schedule 1 to include the SITA recycling facility in River Road and amend name of Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre. Amended Schedule 1(which is also amended as a result of Hearing Proposed Change 5) is appended to this Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes as Annex 1.	For clarification and to correct typographical error.
Hearing Proposed Change 29	This confirms that no changes are required to the Sustainability Appraisal following the Schedule of Advertised Proposed Changes and amendments to the Proposals Map. A statement confirming this is appended to this Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes as Annex 1.	No changes made; note included for clarity.
Hearing Proposed	Change colour of Table 3 from magenta font to black font.	For consistency.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Change 31		
Hearing Proposed Change 32	Table 4. Note 2 should refer to Table 4 not Table 3	For clarification and to correct typographical error.
Hearing Proposed Change 33	Paragraph 4.11. Last line should refer to Table 5.	For clarification and to correct typographical error.
Hearing Proposed Change 34	Text Box, Paragraph 4.20 Under the heading Advanced Thermal Treatment. Insert the text "(See policy W3)" at the end of the third sentence which begins with the text "Within the ELWA area ….."	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 35	Text Box, Paragraph 4.20 Delete the final sentence under the heading C,E&D Recycling which starts with the text "Permissions granted..." . Place the text after the second paragraph in policy W5 to create a new third paragraph.	Moving existing text into policy for clarity.
Hearing Proposed Change 36	Paragraph 2.20. "this Proposed Submission Document" should be changed to "the Proposed Submission Document…."	For clarification.
Hearing Proposed Change 37	Paragraph 3.4 "Borough Core Strategy's" should be "Borough Core Strategies"	For clarification and to correct typographical error.
London Plan Proposed Change 5	Amend Further Proposed Change 3 by amending the second and deleting the third bullet point under paragraph 1.6 which begins with the text *"Details of other documents referred to throughout this document are detailed below:"* • **Planning Policy Statement 10: Planning for Sustainable Waste Management** (ODPM, July 2005). ~~Available at http://www.communities.gov.uk/index.asp?id=1143834~~ • The London Plan (GLA July 2011) ~~(Consolidated with Alterations since 2004) (GLA, February 2004) including Alterations to the Plan's housing provision targets and waste and minerals policies (December 2006) and Draft further alterations to the London Plan (September 2006) and Draft minor alteration on borough level waste apportionment~~	Update to reflect London Plan.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	~~(December 2006). Available at~~ ~~http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/strategies/sds/index.jsp~~ ~~• The London Plan Consultation Draft Replacement Plan (GLA October 2009) (including minor alterations to the Consultation Draft relating to Borough level waste arisings and apportionments in December 2009)~~	
London Plan Proposed Change 6	Amend paragraph 2.6 2.6 The London Plan (2011)~~Consolidated with Alterations since 2004~~ provides planning policies for waste management which boroughs must be in general conformity with, including the tonnages of municipal and commercial and industrial waste to be managed by each London borough, revised targets for recycling of municipal waste and new targets for recycling of commercial and industrial waste and recycling or reuse of construction and demolition waste.	Update to reflect London Plan
London Plan Proposed Change 7	Amend paragraph 2.9 **Adjoining regional or local policy** 2.9 It is important for the Joint Waste DPD to take into account the relevant strategies and plans of adjoining areas. The key waste planning policies from adjoining areas are detailed below: ~~**East of England Plan (RSS) Policy WM3 [Imported Waste]** "The East of England should plan for a progressive reduction in imported waste. After 2015, provision for the management of imported waste from London should be restricted to the landfill of residual waste that has been subject to the maximum practical level of recovery and treatment, for which landfill is the only practical option".~~ The~~is is supported by~~ **Thurrock Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation**~~where the~~ proposes~~al~~ to reduce the use of landfill including importation of London's waste to landfill residues by 2015 and only if landfill capacity is still available. It was favoured by 71% of respondents. The **Essex Waste Development Plan** is currently in preparation.	Update to reflect London Plan and RSS

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
London Plan Proposed Change 8	Amend paragraphs 2.16 and 2.17 2.16 London Plan Policy 5.17 ~~4A.25~~ requires the Joint Waste DPD to identify sufficient land to provide capacity to **manage** the apportioned tonnages of municipal solid waste (MSW) and commercial and industrial (C&I) waste. Waste is deemed to be managed in London if it is used for energy recovery in London, or it is compost or recyclate sorted or bulked in London material recycling facilities for reprocessing either in London or elsewhere. 2.17 Although the London Plan borough level apportionment does not include construction, excavation and demolition waste (C, D&E) or hazardous waste streams, these are addressed in London Plan Policy~~ies 4A.28 and 4A.29~~ 5.18 and as such are included in the Joint Waste DPD.	To reflect policy numbering change in London Plan
London Plan Proposed Change 9	Amend paragraph 4.1 4.1 The determination of how much waste will need to be managed by the ELWA boroughs was debated through previous public consultation. The London Plan ~~(consolidated with Alterations since 2004)~~ identifies~~d~~ the borough level apportionment of **municipal solid waste (MSW)** and **commercial and industrial waste (C&I)** to be managed. The apportionment for each ELWA boroughs is pooled for the purpose of this Joint Waste DPD.	
London Plan Proposed Change 10	Amend paragraph 4.3 4.3 Graph 1 below show the tonnages of these waste streams to be managed by the ELWA boroughs to 2020. Municipal solid waste and commercial and industrial waste tonnages are as per London Plan (Consolidated with Alterations since 2004) Table 4A.6.[18] Construction, excavation and demolition waste and hazardous waste projections for the ELWA boroughs are as set out in the	

[1] The projections for municipal solid waste and commercial and industrial waste have been reduced in the latest version of the London Plan which was published in July 2011.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	Issues and Options Consultation Document. ~~These projections have been will be subject to review during the preparation period covered by of the Waste Plan with the publication by the Mayor of London of a draft Replacement London Plan in July 2011~~	
London Plan Proposed Change 11	Amend Graph 1 to reflect figures relate to London Plan 2008. Graph 1: Waste volumes projected to be managed by ELWA boroughs  	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
London Plan Proposed Change 12	Amend paragraph 4.4 **What facilities will we need?** 4.4 Taking into account the reduced apportionment in the London Plan (2011) the ELWA boroughs will need to provide sufficient waste management capacity for: • 1.573 million tonnes of MSW and C&I waste at 2021 • 1.267 million tonnes of C,E&D waste at 2020; and • 0.095 millions tonnes of hazardous waste at 2020. 4.1	Update to meet London Plan
London Plan Proposed Change 13	Amend Advertised Proposed Change 1 and amend paragraph 4.11 (now paragraph 4.10) as follows: ~~4.11~~ 4.10 The 2011 London Plan ~~proposed current~~ figures are lower than in the previous ~~current~~ London Plan (consolidated with alterations since 2004~~, see Table 3~~) and have resulted ~~should they be published in this form following the examination of the replacement London Plan there would clearly be~~ in increased flexibility within the Waste DPD in terms of site deliverability. Such flexibility recognises that in some cases a site may not come forward or be required to meet the apportionment during the plan period. Site delivery and capacity requirements will be monitored extensively through LDF Annual Monitoring Reports. Where it is apparent that surplus capacity has been identified it may be necessary to put back the delivery of a waste management facility to a later five year period, or review its allocation in the DPD and seek an alternative use. As set out in Policy W2, sites will only be approved where they are needed to contribute to meeting the London Plan apportionment figures for the ELWA boroughs, and capacity sought only where there is an identified need.[2]	
London Plan	Amend Advertised Proposed Change 5 and amend paragraph 4.16 to take into account the adopted London Plan 2011	

[2] Ibid.

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
Proposed Change 14	The *London Plan, Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London* (Greater London Authority, 20~~08~~11) noted that in 200~~3~~7 around 300,000 tonnes of hazardous waste was produced in London, ~~50~~35% from C&D waste (containing asbestos and contaminated soil), 2~~0~~1% from oil and oil/water mix waste, and ~~20~~ 44% from chemical and other industrial processes. There are no figures for hazardous waste on an individual borough basis; however with 33 boroughs, the individual tonnages generated in each borough are likely to be low. …	
London Plan Proposed Change 15	Amend paragraph 4.17 to reflect adopted policy in the London Plan 2011. 4.17 The definition of hazardous waste includes substances that commonly make up household, commercial and industrial construction (including WEEE) and construction, excavation and demolition waste streams (including asbestos and contaminated soils). Whilst London Plan Policy ~~4A.29~~5.19 states that Development Plan Documents should make provision for hazardous waste treatment plants to achieve, at a regional level, the necessary waste management requirements there is no definition of such facilities. Any application for a waste management facility that manages hazardous waste would be determined in accordance with the policies of this Joint Waste DPD.	
London Plan Proposed Change 16	Amend Hearing Proposed Change 15 (which amends Further Proposed Change 17) to reflect adopted policy in the London Plan 2011 The agreed targets for the Joint Waste DPD intend to deliver sustainable waste development (Preferred Objective A) and are consistent with the Waste Strategy for England 2007 and the London Plan ~~as consolidated with alterations since 2004~~ (2011). are: • **Recycling & Composting of MSW -** 40% by 2010, 45% by 2015, 50% by 2020 Waste Strategy for England (2007, page 11) • **Recovery of MSW -** 53% by 2010, 67% by 25, 75% by 2020 Waste Strategy for England (2007, page 11) • **Recycling and composting of C&I** - 70% by 2020 London Plan 20~~08~~11 ~~(consolidated with alterations since 2004)~~ Policy ~~4A.21~~ 5.16 (Waste ~~strategic policy and targets~~ Self-Sufficiency ~~and the Draft London Plan~~)	

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
	• **Recycling and reuse of C,E&D -** 95% by 2020	
London Plan Proposed Change 17	**Amend Policy W2 to reflect adopted policy in the London Plan 2011** **Policy W2: Waste Management Capacity, Apportionment & Site Allocation** The London Plan identifies the amount of municipal and commercial waste to be managed by the ELWA boroughs as ~~1,394,847~~ 1,228,000 tonnes at 2011; 1,395,000 tonnes at 2016 and 1,573,000 tonnes at 202~~0~~1. The ELWA boroughs will meet this apportionment by:	
London Plan Proposed Change 18	Amend paragraph 5.8 and amend Hearing Proposed Change 16 to reflect adoption of the London Plan 2011 5.8 The Councils recognised that before all the sites in Schedule 2 are operational it will need to treat carefully proposals which involve the loss of existing waste management facilities that are not safeguarded. This is necessary to ensure there remains sufficient capacity in place to meet the apportionment. The Councils will require appropriate compensatory provision to be made where this is not the case.[3] ~~Existing construction excavation and demolition waste management sites are safeguarded in line with Policy 4A.28 of the London Plan (consolidated with Alterations since 2004)~~ ~~5.~~	

[3] Advertised Proposed Change 15 in response to representation JWDPD 1220

No. of Proposed Change	Proposed Change		Reason for Change
London Plan Proposed Change 19	Amend item in the Acronyms and terms section		
	London Plan	Refers to the current iteration of the London Plan ~~(consolidated with Alterations since 2004),~~ published in July 2011 ~~the strategic spatial planning document~~ Spatial Development Strategy for Greater London.	

Annexes

Annex 1

Note regarding Sustainability Appraisal, Habitats Regulations Assessment, PPS25 Sequential Test and Equalities Impact Assessment

This document represents a schedule of post-hearing amendments to the Joint Waste DPD, as submitted. These amendments represent minor and statutory provision changes and clarifications to the draft DPD following the Schedule of Proposed Advertised Changes consultation and examination; no significant changes to the policies or site allocations in the draft DPD are proposed. It is considered that the minor changes set out in this schedule do not change the findings of impact assessments prepared in conjunction with the draft DPD and submitted to the Secretary of State: the Sustainability Appraisal (SA); Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA); PPS25 Sequential Test; and Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA). These impact assessments can be accessed on LB Newham's website at http://www.newham.gov.uk/planning/planningpolicy.

Annex 2

Schedule 1[4]

[4] Changes to this Schedule have been made in accordance with Hearing Proposed Change 25 which amends Advertised Proposed Change 12

Ref	Facility name	Borough	Facility type	Annual Permitted Tonnage
RECYCLING				
80090	Gerpins Lane Reuse & Recycling Centre	Havering	A13 - Household Waste Amenity Site	115,500
80679	Jenkins Lane Waste Management Facility	Newham	A13 - Household Waste Amenity Site	110,000
80106	Chigwell Road Reuse & Recycling Centre	Redbridge	A13 - Household Waste Amenity Site	28,600
80105	Frizlands Lane Reuse & Recycling Centre	Barking & Dagenham	A13 - Household Waste Amenity Site	80,000
	Bywaters	Newham	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	500,000
80126	Ilford Recycling Centre	Redbridge	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	7,500
80518*	Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre	Havering	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	50,000
80734	Express Recycling & Plastics Limited	Havering	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	30,000
	White Mountain Roadstone Ltd	Barking & Dagenham	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	12,000
80704*	Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre	Havering	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	131,000
BT 9364**	Jenkins Lane MRF	Newham	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	50,000
BT 9372**	Frog Island MRF	Havering	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	70,000
80759	Closed Loop Recycling	Barking & Dagenham	A15 - Material Recycling Treatment Facility	25,000
	SITA UK Ltd, Barking Materials Recycling Facility	Barking and & Dagenham	A15 – Material Recycling Treatment Facility	75,000
80120	Reuse Collections Limited	Barking & Dagenham	A14 – Transfer Station taking Non-Biodegradable Wastes	260,000
80091	Jewometals (UK) Ltd	Barking & Dagenham	A20 – Metal Recycling (mixed MRSs)	24,000
80115	The Remet Company Ltd	Newham	A20 – Metal Recycling (mixed MRSs)	41,600
80125	Mayer Parry Recycling Ltd (EMR)	Newham	A20 – Metal Recycling (mixed MRSs)	150,000
COMPOSTING				
80704*	Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre	Havering	A22 - Composting Facility (in-vessel)	49,000
80704*	Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre	Havering	A22 - Composting Facility (windrow/wood processing)	153,000
RECOVERY				
80704*	Rainham Waste Recycling & Reclamation Centre	Havering	A16 - Physical Treatment Facility (lamp processing)	24,000
80620	Hunts Wharf	Barking & Dagenham	A16 - Physical Treatment Facility	150,000
	Clinical Waste Ltd (Goodmayes Hospital)	Redbridge	A18 – Incinerator (Clinical Waste)	7,000
Potential	Novera Gasification (Frog Island)	Havering	A17 - Physico-Chemical Treatment Facility (gasification)	90,000
80662	Frog Island Bio-MRF	Havering	A23 - Biological Treatment Facility	~~180,000~~ 93,600
BT 9364	Jenkins Lane Bio-MRF	Newham	A23 - Biological Treatment Facility	~~192,000~~ 99,840